arXiv:1607.01491v1 [math.GN] 6 Jul 2016

COMPLETENESS PROPERTIES OF THE OPEN-POINT AND BI-POINT-OPEN TOPOLOGIES ON $C(X)$

ANUBHA JINDAL, R. A. MCCOY, S. KUNDU, AND VARUN JINDAL

Abstract. This paper studies various completeness properties of the open-point and bi-point-open topologies on the space $C(X)$ of all real-valued continuous functions on a Tychonoff space X. The properties range from complete metrizability to the Baire space property.

1. Introduction

The set $C(X)$ of all real-valued continuous functions on a Tychonoff space X has a number of natural topologies. One important topology on $C(X)$ is a set-open topology, introduced by Arens and Dugundji in [2]. Among the set-open topologies on $C(X)$, the point-open and compact-open topologies are most useful and frequently studied from different perspectives. The point-open topology p is also known as the topology of pointwise convergence. The study of pointwise convergence of sequences of functions is as old as the calculus. The topological properties of $C_p(X)$, the space $C(X)$ equipped with the topology p, have been studied particularly in [3], [12], [18], [19] and [20].

In the definition of a set-open topology on $C(X)$, we use a certain family of subsets of X and open subsets of $\mathbb{R}$. Occasionally, there have been attempts, such as in [8], to define a new type of set-open topology on $C(X)$. But even these attempts did not help to move much away from the traditional way of defining the set-open topologies on $C(X)$. So in [9], by adopting a radically different approach, two new kinds of topologies called the open-point and bi-point-open topologies on $C(X)$ have been defined. One main reason for adopting such a different approach is to ensure that both X and $\mathbb{R}$ play equally significant roles in the construction of topologies on $C(X)$. This gives a function space where the functions get more involved in the behavior of the topology defined on $C(X)$.

The point-open topology on $C(X)$ has a subbase consisting of sets of the form

$$[x, V]^+ = \{f \in C(X) : f(x) \in V\},$$

where $x \in X$ and V is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}$. The open-point topology on $C(X)$ has a subbase consisting of sets of the form

$$[U, r]^- = \{f \in C(X) : f^{-1}(r) \cap U \neq \emptyset\},$$

where U is an open subset of X and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. The open-point topology on $C(X)$ is denoted by h and the space $C(X)$ equipped with the open-point topology h is denoted by $C_h(X)$. The term "h" comes from the word "horizontal" because, in the case of $C_h(\mathbb{R})$ a subbasic

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C35; Secondary 54E18, 54E35, 54E50, 54E52, 54E99.

Key words and phrases. Point-open topology, Open-point topology, Bi-point-open topology, completely metrizable, Čech-complete, locally Čech-complete, sieve-complete, partition-complete, pseudocomplete, Baire space.

open set can be viewed as the set of functions in $C(\mathbb{R})$ whose graphs pass through some given horizontal open segment in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, as opposed to a subbasic open set in $C_p(\mathbb{R})$ which consists of the set of functions in $C(\mathbb{R})$ whose graphs pass through some given vertical open segment in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

The bi-point-open topology on $C(X)$ is the join of the point-open topology p and the open-point topology h. In other words, it is the topology having subbasic open sets of both kinds: $[x, V]^+$ and $[U, r]^-$, where $x \in X$ and V is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}$, while U is an open subset of X and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. The bi-point-open topology on the space $C(X)$ is denoted by ph and the space $C(X)$ equipped with the bi-point-open topology ph is denoted by $C_{ph}(X)$. One can also view the bi-point-open topology on $C(X)$ as the weak topology on $C(X)$ generated by the identity maps $id_1 : C(X) \to C_p(X)$ and $id_2 : C(X) \to C_h(X)$.

In [9] and [10], in addition to studying some basic properties of the spaces $C_h(X)$ and $C_{ph}(X)$, the submetrizability, metrizability, separability and some cardinal functions on $C_h(X)$ and $C_{ph}(X)$ have been studied. But another important family of properties, the completeness properties of $C_h(X)$ and $C_{ph}(X)$, is yet to be studied. In this paper, we plan to do exactly that. More precisely, we study the completeness properties such as complete metrizability, Čech-completeness, local Čech-completeness, sieve-completeness, partition-completeness, pseudocompleteness and property of being a Baire space of the spaces $C_h(X)$ and $C_{ph}(X)$. We see that the completeness of $C_h(X)$ and $C_{ph}(X)$ behave like that of $C_p(X)$. Here we would like to recall that the completeness properties of $C_p(X)$ were studied in [11].

In this paper, we use the following conventions. The symbols $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ denote the space of real numbers, rational numbers, integers and natural numbers, respectively. For a space X the symbol X^0 denotes the set of all isolated points in X, $|X|$ denotes the cardinality of the space X, $\overline{A}$ denotes the closure of A in X, A^c denotes the complement of A in X, and 0_X denotes the constant zero function in $C(X)$. Also for any two topological spaces X and Y that have the same underlying set, the three expressions $X = Y$, $X \leq Y$ and $X < Y$ mean that, respectively, the topology of X is same as topology of Y, the topology of X is weaker than or equal to topology of Y and the topology of X is strictly weaker than the topology of Y. For other basic topological notions, one can see [6].

2. Metrizability of $C_h(X)$ and $C_{ph}(X)$

In this section, we show that a number of properties of the spaces $C_h(X)$ and $C_{ph}(X)$ are equivalent to their metrizability. Some of these properties will be used in the sequel. We first define these properties.

A space X is said to have countable pseudocharacter if each point in X is a G_δ-set. A subset S of a space X is said to have *countable character* if there exists a sequence $\{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of open subsets in X such that $S \subseteq W_n$ for all n and if W is any open set containing S, then $W_n \subseteq W$ for some n.

A space X is said to be of *countable type* (*pointwise countable type*) if each compact set (point) is contained in a compact set having countable character. Clearly, every first countable space is of pointwise countable type.

A property weaker than being a space of pointwise countable type is that of being an r-space. A space X is an *r-space* if each point of X has a sequence $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of neighborhoods with the property that if $x_n \in V_n$ for each n, then the set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is contained in a compact subset of X. Another property weaker than being an r-space is that of being a q-space. A space X is a *q-space* if for each point $x \in X$, there exists a sequence $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of neighborhoods of x such that if $x_n \in U_n$ for each n, then $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has a cluster point.

Another property stronger than being a q-space is that of being an *M-space*, which can be characterized as a space that can be mapped onto a metric space by a *quasi-perfect map* (a continuous closed map in which inverse images of points are countably compact). A space X is called a *p-space* if there exists a sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)$ of families of open sets in a compactification of X such that each $\mathcal{U}_n$ covers X and $\bigcap_n \bigcup\{U \in \mathcal{U}_n : x \in U\} \subseteq X$ for any $x \in X$.
A metrizable space is of countable type and a space of pointwise countable type is an r-space. Also every metrizable space is a p-space and every p-space is a q-space.

In order to relate the metrizability of the spaces $C_h(X)$ and $C_{ph}(X)$ with the topological properties discussed above, we need the following known lemma, the proof of which is omitted.

Lemma 2.1. *Let D be a dense subsets of a space X and A be a compact subset of D. Then A has countable character in D if and only if A has countable character in X.*

By Theorems 3.7 and 3.8 in [9], if X^0 is G_δ-dense in X, then the spaces $C_h(X)$ and $C_{ph}(X)$ are topological groups and hence homogeneous. A space X is called *homogeneous* if for every pair of points $x,\ y$ in X, there exists a homeomorphism of X onto X itself which carries x to y. So Lemma 2.1 can be used to prove the following result.

Proposition 2.2. *If X^0 is G_δ-dense in X, then $C_\tau(X)$, where $\tau = h, ph$, is of pointwise countable type if and only if $C_\tau(X)$, where $\tau = h, ph$, has a dense subspace of pointwise countable type.*

Theorem 2.3. *If X^0 is G_δ-dense in X, then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) *$C_h(X)$ is metrizable.*
- (b) *$C_h(X)$ is first countable.*
- (c) *$C_h(X)$ has countable pseudocharacter.*
- (d) *X has a countable π-base.*
- (e) *X is a countable discrete space.*
- (f) *$C_p(X)$ is metrizable.*
- (g) *$C_h(X)$ is of countable type.*
- (h) *$C_h(X)$ is of pointwise countable type.*
- (i) *$C_h(X)$ has a dense subspace of pointwise countable type.*
- (j) *$C_h(X)$ is an r-space.*
- (k) *$C_h(X)$ is an M-space.*
- (l) *$C_h(X)$ is a p-space.*
- (m) *$C_h(X)$ is a q-space.*

Proof. The equivalences $(a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (d) \Leftrightarrow (e) \Leftrightarrow (f)$ follow from Theorem 4.6 in [9].
$(b) \Rightarrow (c)$. Immediate.
$(c) \Rightarrow (d)$. This follows from Proposition 4.5 in [9].
$(a) \Rightarrow (g) \Rightarrow (h) \Rightarrow (j) \Rightarrow (m)$, $(a) \Rightarrow (k) \Rightarrow (m)$ and $(a) \Rightarrow (l) \Rightarrow (m)$ follow from the previous discussion. Also $(h) \Leftrightarrow (i)$ follows from Proposition 2.2.
$(m) \Rightarrow (e)$. Since X^0 is dense in X, the constant zero function 0_X has a sequence $\{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of basic neighborhoods of the form $B_n = [\{x_1^n\}, 0]^- \cap \ldots \cap [\{x_{r_n}^n\}, 0]^-$ which satisfies the definition of q-space at 0_X. Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in X^0 \setminus \cup\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $A_n = \{x_1^n, \ldots, x_{r_n}^n\}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $g_n \in C(X)$ such that $g_n(x_0) = n$ and $g_n(x) = 0$ for each $x \in A_n$. Each $g_n \in B_n$. But $\{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ does not cluster in $C_h(X)$, because for any $g \in C(X)$, $[\{x_0\}, g(x_0)]^-$ is a neighborhood of g in $C_h(X)$ which contains at

most one member of the sequence $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Hence X^0 is countable. Since X^0 is G_δ-dense, X is a countable discrete space. □

Theorem 2.4. *If X^0 is G_δ-dense in X, then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) $C_{ph}(X)$ *is metrizable.*
- (b) $C_{ph}(X)$ *is first countable.*
- (c) $C_{ph}(X)$ *has countable pseudocharacter.*
- (d) X *is separable.*
- (e) X *is a countable discrete space.*
- (f) $C_p(X)$ *is metrizable.*
- (g) $C_{ph}(X)$ *is of countable type.*
- (h) $C_{ph}(X)$ *is of pointwise countable type.*
- (i) $C_{ph}(X)$ *has a dense subspace of pointwise countable type.*
- (j) $C_{ph}(X)$ *is an r-space.*
- (k) $C_{ph}(X)$ *is an M-space.*
- (l) $C_{ph}(X)$ *is a p-space.*
- (m) $C_{ph}(X)$ *is a q-space.*

Proof. The equivalences $(a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (e) \Leftrightarrow (f)$ follow from Theorem 4.10 in [9] and Theorem 2.3.
$(b) \Rightarrow (c)$. Immediate.
$(c) \Rightarrow (d)$. This follows from Proposition 4.5 in [9].
$(c) \Rightarrow (d)$. This follows from Theorem 4.8 in [10].
$(d) \Rightarrow (e)$. If X is separable, then X^0 is countable. Consequently, X is a countable discrete space.
$(a) \Rightarrow (g) \Rightarrow (h) \Rightarrow (j) \Rightarrow (m)$, $(a) \Rightarrow (k) \Rightarrow (m)$ and $(a) \Rightarrow (l) \Rightarrow (m)$ follow from the previous discussion. Also $(h) \Leftrightarrow (i)$ follows from Proposition 2.2.
$(m) \Rightarrow (d)$. Let $\{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of basic neighborhoods of 0_X in $C_{ph}(X)$ which satisfies the definition of q-space at 0_X. Without loss of generality, we can assume that each B_n is of the form $[y_1^n, V_1^n]^+ \cap \ldots \cap [y_{m_n}^n, V_{m_n}^n]^+ \cap [U_1^n, 0]^- \cap \ldots \cap [U_{r_n}^n, 0]^-$. Choose $x_i^n \in U_i^n$ for $1 \leq i \leq r_n$. Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in X \setminus \cup\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $A_n = \{y_1^n, \ldots, y_{m_n}^n, x_1^n, \ldots, x_{r_n}^n\}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $g_n \in C(X)$ such that $g_n(x_0) = n$ and $g_n(x) = 0$ for each $x \in A_n$. Each $g_n \in B_n$. But $\{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ does not cluster in $C_{ph}(X)$, because for any $g \in C(X)$, $[x_0, (g(x_0) - \frac{1}{2}, g(x_0) + \frac{1}{2})]^+$ is a neighborhood of g in $C_{ph}(X)$ which contains at most one member of the sequence $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Hence X is countable. □

3. Completeness properties of $C_h(X)$ and $C_{ph}(X)$

In this section, we study various kinds of completeness of $C_h(X)$ and $C_{ph}(X)$. In particular, we look at the complete metrizability of the spaces $C_h(X)$ and $C_{ph}(X)$ in a wider setting, more precisely, in relation to several other completeness properties. We first find when these spaces are pseudocomplete and Baire.

A quasi-regular space X is called pseudocomplete if it has a sequence of π-bases $\{\mathcal{B}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that whenever $B_n \in \mathcal{B}_n$ for each n and $\overline{B_{n+1}} \subseteq B_n$, then $\cap\{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \neq \emptyset$ ([17]). In [1], it has been shown that a pseudocomplete space is a Baire space.

The following results help us to find a necessary condition for $C_h(X)$ and $C_{ph}(X)$ to be Baire spaces.

Proposition 3.1. *A nonempty basic open set $[U_1, r_1]^- \cap \ldots \cap [U_n, r_n]^-$ in $C_h(X)$ is dense in $C_h(X)$ if and only if U_i is an infinite subset of X for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $[U_1, r_1]^- \cap \ldots \cap [U_n, r_n]^-$ is a nonempty basic open set in $C_h(X)$ such that U_i is an infinite subset of X for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $G = [V_1, t_1]^- \cap \ldots \cap [V_m, t_m]^-$ be any nonempty basic open set in $C_h(X)$. As $G \neq \emptyset$, there exists $f \in G$ such that $f(x_i) = t_i$, for some $x_i \in V_i$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since U_i is an infinite subset of X, we can choose $y_i \in U_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $y_i \neq y_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \cap \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} = \emptyset$. Let $S = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$. Since S is compact and X is Tychonoff, there exists $h \in C(X)$ such that $h(x_i) = f(x_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $h(y_j) = r_j$ for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Thus $h \in [U_1, r_1]^- \cap \ldots \cap [U_n, r_n]^- \cap G$. Hence $[U_1, r_1]^- \cap \ldots \cap [U_n, r_n]^-$ is dense in $C_h(X)$.

Conversely, let $H = [U_1, r_1]^- \cap \ldots \cap [U_n, r_n]^-$ be a nonempty basic dense open set in $C_h(X)$. Suppose that for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, U_i is finite. Then $U_i \subseteq X^0$. Let $U_i = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$. Take $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{r_1, \ldots, r_n\}$ and $G = [x_1, r]^- \cap \ldots \cap [x_m, r]^-$. Then G is a nonempty open subset of $C_h(X)$ such that $H \cap G = \emptyset$. So we arrive at a contradiction. □

Corollary 3.2. *If X is a space without isolated points, then every nonempty open set in $C_h(X)$ is dense in $C_h(X)$.*

Proposition 3.3. *A nonempty open set of the form $[U_1, r_1]^- \cap \ldots \cap [U_n, r_n]^-$ in $C_{ph}(X)$ is dense in $C_{ph}(X)$ if and only if if U_i is an infinite subset of X for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $[U_1, r_1]^- \cap \ldots \cap [U_n, r_n]^-$ is a nonempty open set in $C_{ph}(X)$ such that U_i is an infinite subset of X, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $G = [z_1, H_1]^+ \cap \ldots \cap [z_p, H_p]^+ \cap [V_1, t_1]^- \cap \ldots \cap [V_m, t_m]^-$ be any nonempty basic open set in $C_{ph}(X)$. As $G \neq \emptyset$, there exists $f \in G$ such that $f(z_j) \in H_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq p$ and $f(x_i) = t_i$, for some $x_i \in V_i$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since U_i is infinite, we can choose $y_j \in U_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that for $i \neq j$, $y_i \neq y_j$ and $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \cap \{x_1, \ldots, x_m, z_1, \ldots, z_p\} = \emptyset$. Let $S = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_n, z_1, \ldots, z_p\}$. Since S is finite and X is Tychonoff, there exists $h \in C(X)$ such that $h(x_i) = f(x_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, $h(z_k) = f(z_k)$ for each $k \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ and $h(y_j) = r_j$ for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Thus $h \in [U_1, r_1]^- \cap \ldots \cap [U_n, r_n]^- \cap G$. Hence $[U_1, r_1]^- \cap \ldots \cap [U_n, r_n]^-$ is a dense open set in $C_{ph}(X)$.

Conversely, let $H = [U_1, r_1]^- \cap \ldots \cap [U_n, r_n]^-$ be a nonempty dense open set in $C_{ph}(X)$. This implies that H is also a nonempty dense open set in $C_h(X)$. Then Proposition 3.1 implies that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, U_i is an infinite subset of X. □

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that X has a non-isolated point x_0 such that x_0 is contained in a compact set having countable character. Then $C_h(X)$ and $C_{ph}(X)$ are of first category, and hence are not Baire spaces.*

Proof. Let A be a compact set in X such that $x_0 \in A$ and A has a countable character. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a countable family of open sets in X such that every member of $\mathcal{B}$ contains A and if U is an open set in X containing A, then there exists a $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \subseteq U$. Since x_0 is a non-isolated point, for every $U \in \mathcal{B}$, U is an infinite subset of X. So by Proposition 3.1 for every $U \in \mathcal{B}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $[U, r]^-$ is an open dense subset of $C_h(X)$. Thus $\mathcal{D} = \{([U, q]^-)^c : U \in \mathcal{B}, q \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ is a countable collection of closed and nowhere dense subsets of $C_h(X)$. In order to prove that $C_h(X)$ is of first category, we show that $C(X) = \cup\mathcal{D}$.

Suppose that there exists $f \in C(X)$ such that $f \notin \cup\mathcal{D}$. This implies that $f \in [U, q]^-$ for every $U \in \mathcal{B}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}$. Thus $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq f(U)$ for all $U \in \mathcal{B}$. Since A is compact in X, there exists an open interval (a, b) in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $f(A) \subseteq (a, b)$. Now A has countable character,

therefore there exists $U \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $A \subseteq U \subseteq f^{-1}(a,b)$. Consequently, $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq f(U) \subseteq (a,b)$, which is impossible. Hence $C_h(X)$ is not a Baire space.

By using Proposition 3.3 in place Proposition 3.1, we can prove in a similar manner that $C_{ph}(X)$ is of first category. □

Corollary 3.5. *If X is a space of pointwise countable type, and either $C_h(X)$ or $C_{ph}(X)$ is a Baire space, then X is discrete.*

In order to show that the converse of Corollary 3.5 is also true, we need the following results.

Proposition 3.6. *For any space X, the following are equivalent.*

(a) *The space $C_p(X) < C_h(X)$.*
(b) *The space $C_{ph}(X) = C_h(X)$.*
(c) *X is a discrete space.*

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$. It is immediate.
$(b) \Rightarrow (c)$. Suppose that X is not discrete. Then X has some non-isolated point x_0. Let 0_X be the constant zero-function on X. Now $[x_0, (-1,1)]^+$ is an open neighborhood of 0_X in $C_{ph}(X)$. To show that $[x_0, (-1,1)]^+$ is not a neighborhood of 0_X in $C_h(X)$, let

$$B = [U_1, 0]^- \cap \ldots \cap [U_n, 0]^-$$

be any basic neighborhood of 0_X in $C_h(X)$. Since x_0 is a non-isolated point, there exists $x_i \in U_i \setminus \{x_0\}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. As X is a Tychonoff space, there exists a continuous function $g : X \to [0,1]$ such that $g(x_0) = 1$ and $g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Then $g \in B$ but $g \notin [x_0, (-1,1)]^+$. So $[x_0, (-1,1)]^+$ cannot be open in $C_h(X)$.
$(c) \Rightarrow (a)$. Suppose that X is discrete. Take any subbasic open set H in $C_p(X)$, where $H = [x, V]^+ = \{f \in C(X) : f(x) \in V\}$ for some $x \in X$ and some open set V in $\mathbb{R}$. Since $\{x\}$ is open in X, for any $f \in [x, V]^+$, we have $f \in [x, f(x)]^- \subseteq [x, V]^+$. Hence $[x, V]^+$ is open in $C_h(X)$. □

Lemma 3.7. *If X is a discrete space, then $C_h(X)$ is homeomorphic to the product of $|X|$ many copies of the space $\mathbb{R}$ with discrete topology.*

Proof. Suppose that $X = \{x_i : i \in I\}$ and let $\mathbb{R}_d$ denote the set $\mathbb{R}$ with discrete topology. Define $\psi : C_h(X) \to \mathbb{R}_d^{|X|}$ by $\psi(f) = (f(x_i))_{i \in I}$ for each $f \in C(X)$, and define $\phi : \mathbb{R}_d^{|X|} \to C_h(X)$ by $\phi((r_i)_{i \in I}) = f$, where $f(x_i) = r_i$ for each $i \in I$ (note that $f \in C(X)$ since X is a discrete space). It is easy to see that $\phi \circ \psi$ is the identity map on $C_h(X)$ and $\psi \circ \phi$ is the identity map on $\mathbb{R}_d^{|X|}$. So ψ is a bijection. Since X is a discrete space, it is easy to prove that the functions ψ and ϕ are continuous. □

When X is of pointwise countable type, the next result completely characterizes the situation when $C_\tau(X)$ ($\tau = h$, ph) is either pseudocomplete or a Baire space. In fact, in this case $C_\tau(X)$ ($\tau = h$, ph) is pseudocomplete or a Baire space if and only if $C_p(X)$ is so respectively.

Theorem 3.8. *If X is of pointwise countable type, then the following are equivalent.*

(a) *$C_h(X)$ is a Baire space.*
(b) *$C_{ph}(X)$ is a Baire space.*
(c) *X is discrete.*
(d) *$C_h(X)$ is pseudocomplete.*
(e) *$C_{ph}(X)$ is pseudocomplete.*

(*f*) $C_p(X)$ *is pseudocomplete.*
(*g*) $C_p(X)$ *is a Baire space.*

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (c)$ and $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$. These follow from Corollary 3.5.
$(c) \Rightarrow (d)$ and $(c) \Rightarrow (e)$. These follow from Proposition 3.6, Lemma 3.7 and the fact that every completely metrizable space is pseudocomplete and arbitrary product of pseudocomplete spaces is pseudocomplete (see [17]).
$(d) \Rightarrow (a)$, $(e) \Rightarrow (b)$ and $(f) \Rightarrow (g)$. These are true because every pseudocomplete space is a Baire space (see [17]).
$(c) \Rightarrow (f)$. If X is discrete, then $C_p(X) = \mathbb{R}^X$. But an arbitrary product of pseudocomplete spaces is pseudocomplete.
$(g) \Rightarrow (c)$. This follows from Corollary I.3.6 in [3]. □

Now we study the complete metrizability of the spaces $C_h(X)$ and $C_{ph}(X)$ in relation to several other completeness properties. We first recall the definitions of various kinds of completeness. For the rest of this section all spaces are assumed to be Tychonoff.

A space X is called Čech-complete if X is a G_δ-set in βX, where βX is the Stone-Čech compactification of X. A space X is called locally Čech-complete if every point $x \in X$ has a Čech-complete neighborhood. Clearly, every Čech-complete space is locally Čech-complete.

In order to deal with sieve-completeness, partition-completeness, one needs to recall the definitions of these concepts from [15]. The central idea of all these concepts is that of a complete sequence of subsets of X.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ be two collections of subsets of X. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be controlled by $\mathcal{U}$ if for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, there exists some $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \subseteq U$. A sequence (U_n) of subsets of X is said to be complete if every filter base $\mathcal{F}$ on X which is controlled by (U_n) clusters at some $x \in X$. A sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)$ of collections of subsets of X is called complete if (U_n) is a complete sequence of subsets of X whenever $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ for all n. It has been shown in Theorem 2.8 of [7] that the following statements are equivalent for a Tychonoff space X: (a) X is a G_δ-subset of any Hausdorff space in which it is densely embedded; (b) X has a complete sequence of open covers; and (c) X is Čech-complete. From this result, it easily follows that a Tychonoff space X is Čech-complete if and only if X is a G_δ-subset of any Tychonoff space in which it is densely embedded.

For the definitions of sieve, sieve-completeness and partition-completeness, see [4], [15], and [16]. The term "sieve-complete" is due to Michael [13], but the sieve-complete spaces were studied earlier under different names: as λ_b-spaces by Wicke in [21], as spaces satisfying condition $\mathcal{K}$ by Wicke and Worrel Jr. in [22] and as monotonically *Čech*-complete spaces by Chaber, Čoban and Nagami in [5]. Every space with a complete sequence of open covers is sieve-complete; the converse is generally false, but it is true in paracompact spaces, see Remark 3.9 in [5] and Theorem 3.2 in [13]. So a Čech-complete space is sieve-complete and a paracompact sieve-complete space is Čech-complete. Also every sieve-complete space is partition-complete.

Theorem 3.9. *For any space X, the following are equivalent.*

(*a*) $C_h(X)$ *is completely metrizable.*
(*b*) $C_h(X)$ *is Čech-complete.*
(*c*) $C_h(X)$ *is locally Čech-complete.*
(*d*) $C_h(X)$ *is sieve-complete.*

(*e*) $C_h(X)$ *is an open continuous image of a paracompact Čech-complete space.*
(*f*) $C_h(X)$ *is an open continuous image of a Čech-complete space.*
(*g*) $C_h(X)$ *is partition-complete.*
(*h*) $C_p(X)$ *is completely metrizable.*
(*i*) $C_p(X)$ *is Čech-complete.*
(*j*) X *is a countable discrete space.*

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$. It follows from the fact that every completely metrizable space is Čech-complete.
$(b) \Rightarrow (c)$, $(b) \Rightarrow (d)$ and $(d) \Rightarrow (g)$ follows from the previous discussion. Also implications $(a) \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (f)$ are immediate. Note $(c) \Rightarrow (f)$, see 3.12.19 (*d*), page 237 in [6].
$(f) \Rightarrow (a)$. A Čech-complete space is of pointwise countable type and the property of being pointwise countable type is preserved by open continuous maps. Hence $C_h(X)$ is of pointwise countable type and consequently by Theorem 2.3, $C_h(X)$ is metrizable and hence $C_h(X)$ is paracompact. But a paracompact open image of a Čech-complete space is Čech-complete (see 5.5.8 (b), page 341 in [6]). Hence $C_h(X)$ is Čech-complete. But a metrizable and Čech-complete space is completely metrizable.
$(g) \Rightarrow (a)$. If $C_h(X)$ is partition-complete, then by Propositions 4.4 and 4.7 in [15], $C_h(X)$ contains a dense Čech-complete subspace. But a Čech-complete space is of pointwise countable type. Hence by Theorems 2.3, $C_h(X)$ is metrizable. But by Theorem 1.5 of [14] and Proposition 2.1 in [15], a metrizable space is completely metrizable if and only if it is partition-complete. Hence $C_h(X)$ is completely metrizable.
$(a) \Rightarrow (j)$. If $C_h(X)$ is completely metrizable, then Theorem 3.7 in [9] implies that X^0 is G_δ-dense in X. Hence by Theorem 2.3, X is a countable discrete space.
$(j) \Rightarrow (a)$. Since a countable product of completely metrizable spaces is completely metrizable, Lemma 3.7 implies that $C_h(X)$ is completely metrizable.
$(h) \Leftrightarrow (i) \Leftrightarrow (j)$. This follows from Theorem 8.6 in [11]. □

The next theorem can be proved in a manner similar to Theorem 3.9, except we need to use here Theorem 2.4 in place of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 3.10. *For any space X, the following are equivalent.*
(*a*) $C_{ph}(X)$ *is completely metrizable.*
(*b*) $C_{ph}(X)$ *is Čech-complete.*
(*c*) $C_{ph}(X)$ *is locally Čech-complete.*
(*d*) $C_{ph}(X)$ *is sieve-complete.*
(*e*) $C_{ph}(X)$ *is an open continuous image of a paracompact Čech-complete space.*
(*f*) $C_{ph}(X)$ *is an open continuous image of a Čech-complete space.*
(*g*) $C_{ph}(X)$ *is partition-complete.*
(*h*) $C_p(X)$ *is completely metrizable.*
(*i*) $C_p(X)$ *is Čech-complete.*
(*j*) X *is a countable discrete space.*

References

[1] J. M. Aarts and D. J. Lutzer, Pseudo-completeness and the product of Baire spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **48**, (1973), 1–10.
[2] R. Arens and J. Dugundji, Topologies for function spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, **1**, (1951), 5–31.
[3] A. V. Arkhangel'skii, *Topological Function Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992.
[4] D. Buhagiar and I. Yoshioka, Sieves and completeness properties, *Questions Answers Gen. Topology*, **18**, no. 2, (2000), 143–162.

[5] J. Chaber, M. M. Čoban, and K. Nagami, On monotonic generalizations of Moore spaces, Čech complete spaces and p-spaces, *Fund. Math.* **84**, no. 2, (1974), 107–119.
[6] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Translated from the Polish by the author. 2nd ed. Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, 6. Berlin: Heldermann Verlag, 1989.
[7] Z. Frolík, Generalizations of the G_δ-property of complete metric spaces, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **10**, (1960), 359–379.
[8] Pratibha Garg and S. Kundu, The compact-G_δ-open topology on $C(X)$, *Topology and Its Applications*, **159**, (2012), 2082-2089.
[9] A. Jindal, R. A. McCoy and S. Kundu, The open-point and bi-point-open topologies on $C(X)$, *Topology and its Applications*, **187**, (2015), 62–74.
[10] A. Jindal, R. A. McCoy and S. Kundu, The open-point and bi-point-open topologies on $C(X)$: submetrizability and cardinal functions, *Topology and its Applications*, **196**, (2015), 229–240.
[11] D. J. Lutzer and R. A. McCoy, Category in function spaces I, *Pacific J. Math.*, **90**, (1980), 145–168.
[12] R. A. McCoy and I. Ntantu, *Topological Properties of Spaces of Continuous Functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1315. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1988.
[13] E. Michael, Complete spaces and tri-quotient maps, *Illinois J. Math.* **21**, no. 3, (1977), 716–733.
[14] E. Michael, A note on completely metrizable spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6**, (1986), 513–522.
[15] E. Michael, Almost complete spaces, hypercomplete spaces and related mapping theorems, *Topology Appl.* **41**, (1991), 113–130.
[16] E. Michael, Partition-complete spaces are preserved by tri-quotient maps, *Topology Appl.* **44**, (1992), 235–240.
[17] J. Oxtoby, Cartesian products of Baire spaces, *Fundamenta Math.* **49**, (1961), 157–166.
[18] V. V. Tkachuk, *A C_p-Theory Problem Book*,Problem Books in Mathematics, Topological and Function Spaces, Springer, New York, 2011.
[19] V. V. Tkachuk, *A C_p-Theory Problem Book*, Problem Books in Mathematics, Special features of function spaces. Springer, Cham, 2014.
[20] V. V. Tkachuk, *A C_p-Theory Problem Book*, Problem Books in Mathematics, Compactness in function spaces. Springer, Cham, 2015.
[21] H. H. Wicke, Open continuous images of certain kinds of M-spaces and completeness of mappings and spaces, *General Topology and Appl.* **1** (1971), 85–100.
[22] H. H. Wicke and J. M. Worrell, Jr., On the open continuous images of paracompact Čech complete spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **37** (1971), 265–275.

Anubha Jindal: Department Of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India.
E-mail address: jindalanubha217@gmail.com

R. A. McCoy: Department of Mathematics, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg VA 24061-0123, U.S.A.
E-mail address: mccoy@math.vt.edu

S. Kundu: Department Of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India.
E-mail address: skundu@maths.iitd.ac.in

Varun Jindal: Department Of Mathematics, Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur, Jaipur, Rajasthan 302017, India.
E-mail address: vjindal.maths@mnit.ac.in